## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

Jessie Yarborough,		C/A No.: 8:07-cv-70142-GRA
	)	C/A No.: 8:05-cr-809-GRA-2
Petitioner,		
	)	
٧.	)	ORDER
	)	(Written Opinion)
United States of America,		
	)	
Respondent.		
	)	

This matter comes before the Court on the petitioner's motion to reconsider this Court's May 6, 2008 Order. In his initial 28 U.S.C. § 2255 petition, Petitioner moved for this Court to stay this action until he was transferred from Butner Federal Correctional Institution to another facility because the legal resources at Bunter were not sufficient for the Petitioner to make legal arguments in support of his § 2255 claims. The petitioner asked to stay the action for ninety days. The Court denied this motion as moot because the Court rendered its decision more than ninety days after Petitioner filed his petition and motion to stay.

Petitioner brings this claim *pro se.* This Court is required to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally. Such pleadings are held to a less stringent standard than those drafted by attorneys. *See Gordon v. Leeke*, 574 F.2d 1147, 1151 (4th Cir. 1978). This Court is charged with liberally construing a pleading filed by a *pro se* litigant to allow for the development of a potentially meritorious claim. *See Boag v. MacDougall*, 454 U.S. 364, 365 (1982).

The petitioner is now asking this Court reconsider its decision to deny his requested stay because Petitioner is still housed at Butner and, allegedly, still deprived of any legal resources. After a review of the original petition, this Court is confident that by applying the requisite liberal standard to the petition none of the petitioner's claims were denied due to inadequate legal research. Even if this Court accepts the petitioner's allegations about the quality of legal resources at Butner, this Court does not believe a new petition presenting the same arguments simply with more citations would compel this Court to alter or amend its prior decision.

THEREFORE IT IS SO ORDERED THAT the petitioner's motion for reconsideration is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

G. Ross Anderson, Jr.
United States District Judge

En Galvern

June <u>12</u>, 2008 Anderson, South Carolina

## NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, Plaintiff has the right to appeal this Order within sixty (60) days from the date of its entry. Failure to meet this deadline, as modified by Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, will waive the right to appeal.